1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	WACO DIVISION	
4	ONTIED DITTED OF TREETERS	* January 10, 2008
5	VS.	* * CRIMINAL ACTION NOS. *
6	ROY OWENS	* W-07-CR-142
7	IIIIII IICOI IICOIII(II (2)	* W-07-CR-36 * W-07-CR-107
	ASHLEY LYNETTE GOMEZ	,, o, oli = 10
8		* W-07-CR-167
		* W-07-CR-168
9	MICHAEL ANTHONY MARTINEZ	* W-07-CR-175
10		S. SMITH, JR., JUDGE PRESIDING
11	REARRAIGNMI	INI PROCEEDINGS
12	APPEARANCES:	
13		Shelly Strimple, Esq. Stephanie Smith-Burris, Esq.
14	1	Assistant United States Attorneys PO Box 828
15	,	Naco, Texas 76701
16	8	Reed W. Prospere, Esq. B111 Preston Road, Suite 500 Dallas, Texas 75225
17	·	Jailas, lexas /3223
18		Michael Lee Brandes, Esq. PO Box 1964
19		Temple, Texas 76503
20		Phil A. Martinez, Esq. Norwest Bank Plaza
21	:	Norwest Bank Flaza 1105 Wooded Acres Dr., Suite 630 Waco, Texas 76710
22	!	Jack F. Holmes, Esq. 5100 Midway Drive, Ste. 200B
23		Temple, Texas 76502
24		Norman E. Lanford, Esq. PO Box 1136
25		Cameron, Texas 76520

		-2
1	For Defendant Dupree:	Steven Kendall Striegler, Esq. PO Box 1683
2		Belton, Texas 76513
3	For Defendant Martinez:	Ronald H. Moody, Esq. 204 North 6th Street
4		Waco, Texas 76701
5 6	Court Reporter:	Kristie M. Davis United States District Court PO Box 20994
7		Waco, Texas 76702-0994
8		
9	Proceedings recorded by	mechanical stenography, transcript
10	produced by computer-aided transcription.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 | (January 10, 2008, 1:11, defendants present.)
- 2 MS. WILLIS: Arraignment and guilty plea in Criminal
- 3 Action No. W-07-CR-142 styled United States of America vs. Roy
- 4 Owens.
- 5 MS. BURRIS: Stephanie Smith-Burris for the government,
- 6 Your Honor.
- 7 MS. WILLIS: Rearraignment proceedings in Criminal Action
- 8 No. W-07-CR-36 styled United States of America vs. Defendant
- 9 No. 2, Yariel Ticoy Figueroa.
- 10 MS. BURRIS: Stephanie Smith-Burris for the government,
- 11 Your Honor.
- MR. BRANDES: Michael Brandes for Mr. Figueroa, Your
- 13 Honor.
- MS. WILLIS: Criminal Action No. W-07-CR-107 styled United
- 15 | States of America vs. Kenneth Eric Watson.
- 16 MS. STRIMPLE: Shelly Strimple for the United States, Your
- 17 | Honor.
- 18 MR. MARTINEZ: Phil Martinez for Mr. Watson, Your Honor.
- 19 MS. WILLIS: Criminal Action No. W-07-CR-148 styled United
- 20 | States of America vs. Ashley Lynette Gomez.
- 21 MS. BURRIS: Stephanie Smith-Burris for the government,
- 22 Your Honor.
- MR. HOLMES: Jack Holmes for Mrs. Gomez, Your Honor.
- MS. WILLIS: Criminal Action No. W-07-CR-167 styled United
- 25 | States of America vs. Benjamin Lee Copeland.

- 1 MS. BURRIS: Stephanie Smith-Burris for the government,
- 2 Your Honor.
- 3 MR. LANFORD: Norman Lanford for the defendant, Your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 MS. WILLIS: Criminal Action No. W-07-CR-168 styled United
- 6 | States of America vs. Clarence Albert Dupree.
- 7 MS. STRIMPLE: Shelly Strimple for the United States.
- 8 MR. STRIEGLER: Steven Striegler for Mr. Dupree, Your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 MS. WILLIS: Criminal Action No. W-07-CR-175 styled United
- 11 | States of America vs. Michael Anthony Martinez.
- MS. BURRIS: Stephanie Smith-Burris for the government,
- 13 Your Honor.
- MR. MOODY: Ron Moody for the defendant, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Cause No. W-07-CR-142 is styled USA vs. Roy
- 16 Owens.
- 17 Is that your proper name, sir?
- 18 MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.
- 19 THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. Owens? How old are you?
- 20 MR. OWENS: 41.
- 21 THE COURT: And what is your educational background? How
- 22 | far did you go in school?
- 23 MR. OWENS: Graduated high school.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- W-07-CR-036, Defendant 2, is styled USA vs. Yariel Ticoy

```
1
    Figueroa?
 2
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
 3
         THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
 4
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA:
                               29, sir.
         THE COURT: And what is your educational background?
 5
 6
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: 11th grade.
         THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
 8
         W-07-CR-167 is styled USA vs. Benjamin Lee Copeland.
 9
         Is that your proper name, sir?
10
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
11
         THE COURT: How did you get over there, Mr. Copeland?
12
         Mr. Watson?
13
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
14
         THE COURT: W-07-CR-107, Defendant 1, is styled USA
15
    vs. Kenneth Eric Watson.
16
         Is that your proper name?
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
17
18
         THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
19
         DEFENDANT WATSON: How old am I?
20
         THE COURT: Uh-huh.
21
         DEFENDANT WATSON: 34.
22
         THE COURT: Thank you.
23
         W-07-CR-148, Defendant 1, is styled USA vs. Ashley Lynette
24
    Gomez.
25
         Is that your proper name, ma'am?
```

```
1
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: How old are you, Ms. Gomez?
 2
 3
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: 23, sir.
 4
         THE COURT: And your educational background?
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: High school graduate.
 5
 6
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you.
 7
         W-07-CR-167 is styled USA vs. Benjamin Lee Copeland.
 8
         That is your name?
 9
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
10
         THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. Copeland?
11
         DEFENDANT COPELAND:
                               27.
12
         THE COURT: And your educational background?
13
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: GED.
14
         THE COURT: Thank you.
15
         W-07-CR-168 is styled USA vs. Clarence Albert Dupree.
16
         Is it "Dupree" or "Dupree"?
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: "Dupree."
17
18
         THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
19
         DEFENDANT DUPREE:
                            53.
         THE COURT: And your educational background?
20
21
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: One semester college.
22
         THE COURT:
                     Thank you.
23
         W-07-CR-175 is styled USA vs. Michael Anthony Martinez.
24
         Is that your proper name, sir?
```

DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.

```
1
         THE COURT: How old are you, Mr. Martinez?
 2
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ:
                               29.
         THE COURT: Do each of you understand that if you enter a
 3
 4
    quilty plea, I'm going to ask you some questions about the
    offense or offenses to which you're pleading guilty and that if
 5
 6
    you answer those questions under oath in open court and in the
    presence of your attorney, your answers could be used against
 7
 8
    you in a prosecution for perjury or false statement if your
 9
    answers are not true?
10
         Do you understand that, Mr. Owens?
11
         MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.
12
         THE COURT:
                     Do you, Mr. Figueroa?
13
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
14
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson, do you?
15
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez, do you?
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
17
18
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, do you?
                             Yes, sir.
19
         DEFENDANT COPELAND:
20
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Dupree, do you?
21
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
22
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, do you?
23
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
24
         (Defendants were sworn.)
25
         THE COURT: Do any of you attorneys have any concerns at
```

all regarding your client's competence to stand trial and enter 1 a plea this afternoon? And by being competent, I of course 2 3 mean having both a factual and a rational understanding of 4 these proceedings. Mr. Prospere, do you have any such concerns? 5 6 MR. PROSPERE: No, sir. My client is competent, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 Mr. Brandes, do you have any such concerns? 9 MR. BRANDES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, do you? 10 11 MR. MARTINEZ: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Holmes, do you? 13 MR. HOLMES: I do not, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Lanford, do you? 15 MR. LANFORD: No, sir, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Striegler, do you? 17 No concerns, Your Honor. MR. STRIEGLER: 18 THE COURT: Mr. Martinez -- uh-oh. You're not Mr. Martinez. You don't even look like Phil's brother. 19 20 Mr. Moody, do you have any such concerns? 21 MR. MOODY: No, Your Honor. I do not. 22 THE COURT: Do any of you defendants suffer from any 23 mental or physical impairment which would have any effect on 24 your ability to fully understand the charges against you or the

basis and consequences of a guilty plea?

```
1
         Mr. Owens, do you?
                     No, sir.
 2
         MR. OWENS:
 3
         THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa?
 4
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir.
 5
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
 6
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
 8
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
9
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
10
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
11
12
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
13
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
14
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
15
         THE COURT: Has any one of you ever suffered a physical
16
    injury such as a head or a brain injury that might affect your
17
    memory or your judgment in any way?
18
         Mr. Owens?
19
         MR. OWENS:
                     No, sir.
20
         THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa?
21
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir.
22
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
23
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
24
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
25
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
```

```
1
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
 2
 3
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
 4
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
 5
 6
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Is any one of you under the influence of any
 7
 8
    medicine or drugs this afternoon that might have such an effect
9
    on you?
10
         Mr. Owens?
11
         MR. OWENS: No, sir.
12
         THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa?
13
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir.
14
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
15
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
17
18
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
19
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
20
21
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
22
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
23
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
24
         THE COURT: Has each of you received a copy of the
25
    document the government has filed which names you and states
```

```
1
    the charges against you? That could either be an indictment or
    a superseding information or perhaps just an information.
 2
    any event, whichever type of document it is, have you received
 3
 4
    a copy of it, have you read it or had it read to you, discussed
    it with your attorney and do you understand what you're charged
 5
 6
    with?
 7
         Mr. Owens?
 8
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
 9
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Figueroa?
10
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
11
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
12
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
13
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
14
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
15
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
16
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT:
17
                     Mr. Dupree?
18
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
19
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
20
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
21
         THE COURT: Has each of you discussed with your attorney
22
    not only what you're charged with but also any defenses that
23
    you might be able to raise if you elected to go to trial?
24
         Have you done that, Mr. Owens?
25
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
```

```
1
         THE COURT: Have you, Mr. Figueroa?
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
 2
 3
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
 4
         THE COURT:
 5
                     Ms. Gomez?
 6
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
 8
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
 9
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Dupree?
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
10
11
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
12
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
13
         THE COURT: Mr. Owens, you are charged in a one-count
14
    information with the offense of misprision of a felony, a
15
    violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 4.
16
    maximum punishment that can be assessed to a person convicted
17
    of that offense is three years of incarceration followed by one
    year of supervised release, a fine of up to a quarter of a
18
19
    million dollars and a $100 special assessment under the Victims
20
    of Crime Act. Do you understand those possible maximum
21
    punishments?
2.2
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
23
                     Has there been a plea agreement in this case?
         THE COURT:
24
         MS. BURRIS: There has been, Your Honor. This defendant
25
    has agreed to enter a plea of guilty to the information on file
```

```
against him. The United States Attorney agrees to refrain from
1
    prosecuting this defendant for any other Title 18 or Title 21
 2
    United States Code violations of which the United States is now
 3
            The United States agrees to inform the Court of the
 4
    cooperation, if any, of the defendant. The defendant
 5
 6
    understands that he is waiving his right to appeal as set out
 7
    in the written plea agreement. And the written plea agreement
 8
    on file, which does include the factual basis, is the entire
    agreement between the defendant, his counsel and the United
 9
10
    States.
11
         THE COURT:
                     Is that an accurate summary of the plea
12
    agreement, Mr. Prospere?
13
         MR. PROSPERE: Yes, sir.
14
         THE COURT: Mr. Owens, do you understand the plea
15
    agreement and approve of it?
16
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
17
                     Do you also understand that you have the right
         THE COURT:
18
    to plead not guilty to this charge or to continue in that plea
19
    if you've previously entered such a plea?
20
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
21
         THE COURT:
                     With that understanding then to the charge of
22
    misprision of a felony as alleged in the information in this
23
    case, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?
24
         MR. OWENS:
                     Guilty.
25
                     Would you read the charge, please, Ms. Burris?
         THE COURT:
```

1 MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor. The United States Attorney charges: On or about March the 2 4th, 2004 in the Northern District of Texas the defendant Roy 3 4 Owens, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, to wit: Conspiracy 5 6 to launder money instruments, contrary to Title 18 United 7 States Code Section 1956(h), the defendant did not as soon as 8 possible make known the same to some judge or other persons in civil or military authority under the United States and 9 10 willfully concealed said felony by allowing his warehouse 11 located at 8300 C.F. Hawn, Dallas, Texas to be used by other 12 persons to store and hide quantities of marijuana for 13 distribution, the sale of which generated proceeds in the form 14 of United States currency, in violation of Title 18 United 15 States Code Section 4. 16 Is that what you did, Mr. Owens? THE COURT: 17 Yes, sir. MR. OWENS: 18 THE COURT: Is that what you're pleading guilty to? 19 MR. OWENS: Yes, sir. The factual basis, Ms. Burris? 20 THE COURT: 21 MS. BURRIS: Specifically the United States Attorney would 22 prove beyond a reasonable doubt that beginning at least as 23 early as 1998 and continuing until early 2005 Jose Ipina, Jr. 24 was acquiring large quantities of marijuana for distribution. 25 The marijuana was imported from Mexico and transported to Waco,

Texas which is located in the Western District of Texas, 1 Dallas, Texas and elsewhere. Once the marijuana was sold, bulk 2 currency was collected from the sale of the fronted marijuana. 3 It was packaged for shipment back to Mexico to further the 4 ongoing illegal activity. In early 2004 members of the 5 6 organization paid currency generated from the sale of marijuana to Roy Owens for the use of his warehouse. The organization 7 8 brought in truckloads of marijuana ranging from 6,000 to 11,000 The marijuana was stored in the warehouse to hide the 9 pounds. 10 illegal controlled substance distribution activity. 11 marijuana was offloaded in the warehouse to transport vans and 12 the marijuana was then sold for \$325 a pound and generated 13 millions of dollars in drug revenue. Roy Owens was aware of 14 the activity, did willfully conceal the activity and did not as 15 soon as possible notify persons in authority regarding the 16 activity. 17 And that is a summary of the factual basis on file, Your 18 Honor. 19 Mr. Owens, do you have any disagreement with that factual summary? 20 21 MR. OWENS: No, sir. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa, you are charged in -- is it 23 Count Three, Ms. Burris? 24 MS. BURRIS: Mr. Figueroa? I've got him in his separate 25 indictment, Your Honor. Just a one-count indictment.

```
1
                     Then, Mr. Figueroa, you are charged with
         THE COURT:
    possession of counterfeit obligations, in violation of Title 18
 2
    United States Code Section 472 and aiding and abetting in that
 3
    offense, in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 2.
 4
    The maximum punishment that can be assessed to a person
 5
 6
    convicted of that offense is 20 years of incarceration followed
 7
    by five years of supervised release, a fine of up to a quarter
 8
    of a million dollars and a $100 special assessment under the
    Victims of Crime Act. Do you understand those possible maximum
10
    punishments?
11
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
                     Has there been a plea agreement in this case?
12
         THE COURT:
         MS. BURRIS:
13
                      No, Your Honor.
14
         THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Figueroa, that you have
    the right to plead not guilty to this charge or to continue in
16
    that plea if you've previously entered a plea of not guilty?
17
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
18
         THE COURT:
                     With that understanding then to the charge of
    possessing counterfeit obligations and aiding and abetting in
    that offense as alleged in the indictment, how do you wish to
21
    plead, guilty or not guilty?
22
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Guilty, sir.
23
         THE COURT:
                     Would you read the charge in this case,
24
    please, Ms. Burris?
25
         MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
```

15

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The grand jury charges: On or about July 27th, 2006 in the Western District of Texas the defendant Yariel Ticoy Figueroa, aided and abetted by another, with the intent to defraud, did possess a falsely made, forged and counterfeited obligation of the United States, that is, a Federal Reserve Note in the denomination of \$20, series of 2000, with a serial number of AG62422033A which he then knew to be falsely made, 8 forged and counterfeited, in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 472 and Title 18 United States Code Section 2. Is that what you did, Mr. Figueroa? THE COURT: DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir. And is that what you're pleading guilty to? THE COURT: 14 DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The factual basis in this case, Ms. Burris? MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor. The United States would prove beyond a reasonable doubt that on July 27th, 2006 officers with the Killeen Police Department responded to an incident where an individual was attempting to purchase groceries using a counterfeit \$20 Federal Reserve Note from the 7-11 convenience store located at 611 North 10th Street, Killeen, Bell County, Texas which is 23 located in the Waco Division of the Western District of Texas. 24 The clerk at the convenience store determined that the currency 25 was counterfeit and alerted police officers who were in the

parking lot of the store.

The officers stopped the subject and investigated the clerk's claim that the currency was counterfeit. They identified this subject as Yariel Ticoy Figueroa. The officers were able to confirm that the \$20 bill that Figueroa passed was counterfeit. Mr. Figueroa consented to a search of his person and the officers discovered another additional 12 counterfeit \$20 Federal Reserve Notes.

At the time of the incident Figueroa gave a sworn false statement to police officers that he did not know the currency was counterfeit and had been paid in cash for contract labor work.

On September the 6th of 2006 Special Agent Todd Brown and Steve Baskerville with the United States Secret Service interviewed Figueroa. After being advised of his Miranda rights, Figueroa stated that he unknowingly received the counterfeit currency from a subject he identified as Marques Bell as payment for contract labor. Figueroa told the agents that Bell received the counterfeit currency from a female he knew only as Isa. Figueroa stated that Isa travels from Florida and passes counterfeit that comes in from Ecuador.

On September the 8th of 2006 the agents again interviewed Figueroa and again after being Mirandized he admitted that he knowingly passed and possessed counterfeit money and that he received the counterfeit 20s from codefendant Marques Bell with

1 the intention of knowingly passing the counterfeit currency. On October the 11th of 2006 Special Agent Brown 2 3 interviewed Marques Bell at the Bell County jail. After being Mirandized, Bell confessed to the agent that he had received an 4 unspecified amount of counterfeit currency from Isa and then 5 6 passed the money to Capone whose AKA was Yariel Ticoy Figueroa. Bell further confessed that he knew the money he received from 7 8 Isa was counterfeit because she told him it was. Yariel Figueroa obtained counterfeit currency from Marques 9 10 Bell and with intent to defraud Figueroa passed some of that 11 counterfeit money, including the counterfeit \$20 Federal 12 Reserve Note alleged in the indictment. 13 The defendant Figueroa admits that he committed the 14 offense alleged in the indictment along with the codefendant 15 Marques Bell. 16 That's a summary of the factual basis on file, Your Honor. 17 Do you have any disagreement with that factual THE COURT: 18 summary, Mr. Figueroa? 19 DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir. Mr. Watson, you are charged in four counts of 20 21 an indictment. Count One charges you with conspiracy to 22 possess with intent to distribute at least five kilograms of a 23 mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, 24 in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1),

841(b)(1)(A)(ii) and Section 846. There's a mandatory minimum

sentence of ten years attached to that offense. The possible maximum sentence is life in prison. Five years of supervised release -- up to five years of supervised release would follow any period of incarceration. A fine of up to \$4 million could be assessed and there would be a \$100 mandatory special assessment under the Victims of Crime Act.

Count Two charges you with possession with intent to distribute at least 500 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II). There's a mandatory minimum sentence of ten years -- I mean of five years for anyone convicted of that offense, a possible maximum sentence of 40 years. Four years of supervised release could be assessed. \$2 million in fines could be imposed and there would also be a \$100 special assessment.

Count Three charges you with possession of a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, in violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 924(c)(1)(A)(I). The mandatory punishment for that offense is five years of incarceration which must be served consecutively to any other sentence, three years of supervised release, a quarter of a million dollars in fines and again a \$100 special assessment.

Count Four charges you with possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, in violation of Title 18 United States Code

Sections 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). The maximum punishment that 1 can be assessed to a person convicted of that offense is ten 2 3 years of incarceration followed by three years of supervised release, a fine of up to a quarter of a million dollars and 4 another \$100 special assessment. 5 That means that the -- there would be a mandatory 20 year 6 7 sentence for a person convicted of all four of those counts. 8 The maximum possible sentence would be life in prison. Five years of supervised release could be assessed. Six and a half 9 10 million dollars in fines could be imposed and there would be \$400 in mandatory special assessments. Do you understand those 11 12 maximum possible punishments? 13 DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir. 14 MS. STRIMPLE: Your Honor, if I may, as to Count One the 15 supervised release is at least five years and as to Count Two 16 it's at least four according to the statute. 17 THE COURT: Do you understand those possible punishments, 18 Mr. Watson? Yes, sir. 19 DEFENDANT WATSON: 20 THE COURT: Has there been a plea agreement in this case, 21 Ms. Strimple? 22 MS. STRIMPLE: No, Your Honor. There has not. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Watson, that you have 24 the right to plead not quilty to any or all of these charges or

to continue in such pleas if you've already entered guilty

```
1
    pleas?
 2
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
 3
         THE COURT: Not quilty pleas, rather. Do you understand
 4
    that?
 5
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
 6
         THE COURT:
                     Then as to the charge in Count One, conspiracy
    to possess with intent to distribute at least five kilograms of
 7
 8
    a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of
 9
    cocaine, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?
10
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Guilty, Your Honor.
11
         THE COURT: And as to the charge in Count Two of
12
    possession with intent to distribute at least 500 grams of a
13
    mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine,
14
    how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?
15
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Guilty, Your Honor.
16
         THE COURT: And as to the charge of possessing a firearm
17
    in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime as alleged in Count
    Three, how do you wish to plead, quilty or not quilty?
18
19
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Guilty, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: And as to the charge of possession of a
20
21
    firearm by a convicted felon as alleged in Count Four, how do
22
    you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?
23
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Guilty, Your Honor.
24
         THE COURT:
                     Would you read the charges, please,
25
    Ms. Strimple?
```

MS. STRIMPLE: Yes, Your Honor.

The grand jury charges, Count One: Beginning in or about February 2006, the exact date unknown, and continuing until on or about July 13th, 2006 in the Western District of Texas defendants Kenneth Eric Watson and Eric Smith III and others both known and unknown to the grand jury did unlawfully and willfully combine, conspire, confederate and agree together and with each other and others to possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, which offense involved at least five kilograms of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule 2 narcotic drug controlled substance, contrary to Title 21 United States Code Section 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A)(ii) and in violation of Title 21 United States Code Section 846.

Count Two: On or about June 28th, 2006 in the Western District of Texas defendant Kenneth Eric Watson unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally did possess with intent to distribute a controlled substance, which offense involved at least 500 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a Schedule 2 narcotic drug controlled substance, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II).

Count Three: On or about June 28, 2006 in the Western

District of Texas defendant Kenneth Eric Watson did knowingly

possess at least one of the following firearms, to wit: A

```
Bushmaster .223 pistol bearing Serial Number 0598; a Hi Point
1
    Model C-99 9-millimeter pistol bearing Serial Number P1263960
 2
    in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime for which he may be
 3
    prosecuted in a court of the United States, to wit: Possession
 4
    with intent to distribute at least 500 grams of cocaine, a
 5
 6
    Schedule 2 narcotic drug controlled substance, contrary to
    Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and
 7
 8
    841(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II), all in violation of Title 18 United
    States Code Section 924(c)(1)(A)(1).
 9
         Count Four: On or about June 28, 2006 in the Western
10
11
    District of Texas defendant Kenneth Eric Watson, being a person
12
    who had been convicted of the following crime punishable by
13
    imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, to wit: On
14
    November 19, 1993 Kenneth Eric Watson was convicted of
15
    possession with intent to distribute crack cocaine, a
16
    Schedule 2 narcotic drug controlled substance, in the United
17
    States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin
    Division in Cause No. A-93-CR-132, did unlawfully and knowingly
18
19
    possess at least one of the following firearms, to wit:
20
    Bushmaster .223 pistol bearing Serial Number 0598; a Hi Point
21
    Model C99 9-millimeter pistol bearing Serial Number P1263960
22
    which had moved in commerce and affecting commerce, in
23
    violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 922(q)(1) and
24
    924(a)(2).
25
         THE COURT: Are those the things you did, Mr. Watson?
```

1 DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And are those the things to which you're pleading guilty?

DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The factual basis, Ms. Strimple?

On June 28, 2006 an officer with the Harker MS. STRIMPLE: Heights Police Department attempted a traffic stop of a Chevy Avalanche truck with license plate 02PSS2. The driver of the vehicle refused to stop and a marked patrol unit pursued the vehicle until the chase was terminated for safety reasons. Shortly after the vehicle chase, Bell County 911 received a call of a black male in the area attempting to stop passing cars while waving a bag of marijuana. The caller reported seeing a truck that matched the description of the Avalanche nearby. A second caller reported seeing a vehicle similar to the Avalanche involved in the chase speeding down a nearby street with a blown-out tire. The second caller advised the truck went into a ditch on Old Nolanville Road and the driver was a black male who was walking down the road carrying a bag. A third caller advised she observed a black male in her yard. Officers met with the third caller who directed the officers to the church to the west of her property. The third caller advised the man had hid some bags at the base of a light pole by the church.

Officers went to the church which is located in

Nolanville, Texas which is in the Waco Division of the Western District of Texas. Officers found several duffle bags at the base of the light pole. Inside the bags officers found cocaine, marijuana, a letter addressed to Watson and the Bushmaster and Hi Point firearms listed in Counts Three and Four of the indictment. The cocaine was inside a cloth bag labeled "Red Monkey Jeans." Nearby officers located the Chevy Avalanche involved in the vehicle chase. The vehicle was registered to Watson at an address in Harker Heights, Texas.

A photograph of Watson was obtained and presented to the 911 caller who reported the black male attempting to stop motorists with a bag of marijuana. The caller identified Watson as the man he was seeing -- he had seen. A search warrant for Watson's residence was obtained. At the home investigators located a currency counting machine, currency wrappers, kilogram size packaging containing a white residue which tested positive for the presence of cocaine, an electronic scale and cloth bags marked "Red Monkey Jeans." The home was wired with a security system which recorded images both inside and outside of the home. Investigators reviewed the recordings made by the system and observed Watson putting firearms into a bag similar to one of the bags found at the church and then entering the Chevy Avalanche previously described.

The narcotics found at the church were submitted to the

Texas Department of Public Safety laboratory. They were found to be 984.74 grams of cocaine and almost two pounds of marijuana. The firearms listed in Counts Three and Four are firearms which are manufactured outside of the State of Texas, thus they have moved in or affected commerce. These firearms were possessed in furtherance of Watson's drug trafficking activities.

Watson was previously convicted of the offense listed in Count Four of the indictment which is an offense with a term of imprisonment exceeding one year.

Further investigation revealed that a coconspirator had rented a storage unit at Long Branch Storage located in the Waco Division of the Western District of Texas. A search warrant was obtained for that location. Inside officers located paperwork belonging to Watson, digital scales, powder cocaine, cocaine residue, a Bursa Thunder .380, a Browning 12 gauge shotgun and a Beretta 12 gauge shotgun. The firearms were possessed by both coconspirators in furtherance of their drug trafficking activities. The narcotics found were sent to the Texas Department of Public Safety laboratory and were found to be 938.92 grams of cocaine.

On July 14th of 2006 a search warrant was executed at a coconspirator's residence. The coconspirator was interviewed. He indicated he rented the storage unit, that he had put the firearms in the unit and that there was approximately a

kilogram of cocaine in the unit.

A cooperating witness was interviewed who stated Watson was the head of a large scale cocaine trafficking organization. The cooperator stated a team of five persons, which included Smith, was responsible for distributing between one and three kilos of powder cocaine a week in the Killeen area. The cooperator described other teams in the Austin and Houston area.

A second cooperating witness was interviewed who said that this person had received between four and nine ounces of powder cocaine on a weekly basis from Watson beginning in March of 2006 until June of 2006. This cooperator described an organization involving more than five participants with Watson as the head.

That is a summary of the factual basis pertaining to this defendant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any disagreement with that factual summary, Mr. Watson?

DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.

THE COURT: Ms. Gomez, you are charged in a one-count indictment with possessing with intent to distribute cocaine, a Schedule 2 controlled substance, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C) and aiding and abetting in that offense, in violation of Title 18 United States Code Section 2. The maximum punishment that can

```
be assessed to a person convicted of that offense is 20 years
1
    of incarceration followed by three years of supervised release,
 2
    a fine of up to a million dollars and a $100 special assessment
 3
    under the Victims of Crime Act. Do you understand those
 4
    possible maximum punishments?
 5
 6
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Has there been a plea agreement in this case,
    Ms. Burris?
 8
 9
         MS. BURRIS: No, Your Honor.
10
         THE COURT: Do you understand, Ms. Gomez, that you have
11
    the right to plead not quilty to this charge or to continue in
12
    that plea if you've previously entered a plea of not quilty?
13
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
14
         THE COURT: With that understanding then to the charge of
15
    possessing with intent to distribute cocaine as alleged in
16
    count -- in the one-count indictment and aiding and abetting in
17
    that offense, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?
18
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Guilty, sir.
19
                     Would you read the charge, please, Ms. Burris?
20
         MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
21
         The grand jury charges: On or about July 18, 2007 in the
22
    Western District of Texas the defendant Ashley Lynette Gomez,
    aided and abetted by another, did unlawfully, knowingly and
23
    intentionally possess with the intent to distribute a mixture
24
25
    or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Schedule 2 narcotic drug controlled substance, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C) and Title 18 United States Code Section 2. Is that what you did, Ms. Gomez? DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And is that what you're pleading guilty to? DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The factual basis in this case, Ms. Burris? MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor. On July 18th, 2007 Sergeant Bob Turner with the Texas Department of Public Safety Narcotics Division was called to assist Trooper Wilkins on a traffic stop which had occurred in McLennan County located in the Waco Division of the Western District of Texas. Trooper Wilkins and Trooper Dale were working speed enforcement on I-35 northbound. Trooper Dale had clocked the vehicle identified as a black 1997 BMW with a Texas license plate at 84 miles per hour in a 65 mile per hour zone. The trooper made contact on the passenger side of the The driver who was later identified as Michael David White stated he did not have a reason for the speed. trooper noticed as White handed him his Texas driver's license that his hand was trembling. White told Trooper Wilkins that he was coming home from Austin where he and his girlfriend who was later identified Ashley Lynette Gomez had spent a couple of days visiting. The trooper did not see anything in the vehicle that indicated an overnight stay. Records check revealed that

White had a drug arrest history which further raised the

trooper's suspicions for the nervousness being exhibited.

White was asked if he was traveling with anything illegal and

began asking him specifically regarding weapons, stolen

property and narcotics. White became increasingly nervous,

swinging his arms and repeating "no, no, no" before the trooper

could even finish his questions. The trooper was then asked

for and received consent to search the vehicle.

Trooper Wilkins meanwhile made contact with the female passenger Gomez. He told her he would be searching the vehicle and asked her if there was anything illegal in it. Her response was "not that I know of." The trooper noticed there were two purses in the front floorboard between Ms. Gomez' feet. She indicated the purses were hers. The trooper asked if there was anything illegal in them and again she indicated she was not sure.

The trooper stuck his head inside the door and immediately noticed an odor of burnt marijuana. He also noticed pieces of raw marijuana on the passenger side floorboard. The trooper picked up the two purses from the floorboard and immediately saw what was a white powdery substance in the black purse. There was also a package of cough drops that was opened and contained two Ziploc baggies that held more white powdery substance. Also located inside that purse was an electric bill

with White's name and address on it.

After being Mirandized, White refused to make any statements in reference to the cocaine and Gomez admitted that it belonged to her.

The suspected cocaine was submitted to the DPS lab which confirmed the presence of cocaine. And in the training and experience of Sergeant Bob Turner, the amount was for distribution and not for personal use.

And that is a summary of the factual basis on file, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any disagreement with that factual summary, Ms. Gomez?

DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, you are charged in a one-count indictment with possessing with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine within 1,000 feet of a public school, which is a violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C) and 860(a). There's a mandatory minimum sentence of at least one year of incarceration, a possible maximum sentence of 40 years of incarceration, six years of supervised release to follow any period of incarceration, a fine of up to \$2 million and a \$100 special assessment under the Victims of Crime Act.

DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.

1 Has there been a plea agreement in this case, THE COURT: Ms. Burris? 2 3 MS. BURRIS: No, Your Honor. Do you understand, Mr. Copeland, that you have 4 the right to plead not guilty to this charge or to continue in 5 6 that plea if you've previously entered a plea of not guilty? 7 DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir. Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Do you want me to ask you again? You have the right to plead not quilty, Mr. Copeland, or if you've 9 10 previously entered a plea of not guilty at an arraignment by a 11 letter or in writing or otherwise, you have the right to 12 continue pleading not guilty. Do you understand? 13 DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: With that understanding then to the charge of 15 possessing with intent to distribute a mixture or substance 16 containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine within 1,000 feet of a public school as alleged in the indictment, how do 17 you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty? 18 19 DEFENDANT COPELAND: Guilty. 20 THE COURT: Would you read the charge in this case, 21 please, Ms. Burris? 22 MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor. The grand jury charges: On or about October 14th, 2007 in 23 24 the Western District of Texas the defendant Benjamin Lee Copeland unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally did possess 25

```
with the intent to distribute a controlled substance, which
1
 2
    offense involved a mixture or substance containing a detectable
    amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule 2 controlled substance,
 3
    the said possession having occurred within 1,000 feet of the
 4
    real property comprising a public school, to wit:
 5
 6
    Middle School located at 1500 South 19th Street, Temple, Bell
 7
    County, Texas, in violation of Title 21 United States Code
 8
    Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C) and 860(a).
 9
                     Is that what you did, Mr. Copeland?
         THE COURT:
10
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
11
         THE COURT:
                     What was your answer? Yes?
12
         DEFENDANT COPELAND:
                              Yes.
13
         THE COURT: And is that what you're pleading guilty to?
14
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
15
         THE COURT:
                     The factual basis, Ms. Burris?
16
         MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
17
         On October the 14th, 2007 a Temple Police Department
    officer was dispatched to Scott & White Hospital, which is
18
19
    located within the Waco Division of the Western District of
20
    Texas, in regards to an assault with injury call. Upon arrival
21
    the officer met with the female who stated she had been beaten
22
    up by her fiance Benjamin Lee Copeland. At the time the female
23
    was around 21 weeks pregnant. The officer observed multiple
24
    injuries on her which included bite injuries on her right arm,
    right shoulder and face. She also had large scrapes and
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contusions on her back and a lump on her forehead. The victim also had injuries which she stated were from past beatings. One of these was a bluish yellow contusion on her left collar A doctor who was attending the victim stated that in his medical opinion the victim had present injuries which were about two weeks old. While the officer was speaking with her, a Scott & White employee informed the officer that Copeland called asking about her and was probably on his way up to the hospital to see how she was doing. The officer finished speaking with her and went out to the parking lot to see if Copeland would show up. He saw a green Honda matching the description that had been given by the victim pull into the emergency room parking lot. The officer followed the vehicle into the southwest parking lot at Scott & White and observed a white male matching the description of Copeland given by the victim getting out of the vehicle. The officer asked the individual if he was Benjamin and he stated yes. The officer placed him under arrest for assault with bodily injury, family violence and put him in his car. During a search of the vehicle incident to arrest the officer found a plastic bag that contained a green leafy substance in the center console, which through the officer's training and experience he believed to be marijuana. He also located a plastic baggie containing a crystal like substance and several small plastic baggies containing more crystal like substance in the center console

which through the officer's training and experience he believed 1 to be methamphetamine. The officer located a glass tube with a 2 glass ball at one end which was burnt. The officer also 3 recognized this to be drug paraphernalia. The crystal 4 substance later tested positive for the presence of 5 6 methamphetamine. 7 Scott & White Hospital is located within 1,000 feet of a 8 public school which is Travis Middle School which is located at 1500 South 19th Street in Temple, Bell County, Texas. 9 10 In the training and experience of Agent David Hess with 11 the Temple Police Department Special Investigations Unit, the 12 amount of methamphetamine possessed by this defendant was an 13 amount for distribution and not for personal use. 14 And that is a summary of the factual basis on file, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: Do you have any disagreement with that factual 17 summary, Mr. Copeland? 18 DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir. 19 Mr. Dupree, you are charged in a one-count indictment with possessing with intent to distribute five grams 20 21 of a substance containing crack cocaine, a Schedule 2 22 controlled substance, in violation of Title 21 United States 23 Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B)(iii). The maximum

punishment that can be assessed to a person convicted of that

offense is at least five years of incarceration, a possible

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
total period of incarceration of 40 years, a fine of up to $2
million, four years of supervised release and a $100 special
assessment under the Victims of Crime Act. Do you understand
those possible maximum punishments?
     DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
                Has there been a plea agreement in this case,
     THE COURT:
Ms. Strimple?
     MS. STRIMPLE: No, Your Honor.
     THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Dupree, that you have
the right to plead not guilty to this charge or to continue in
that plea if you've previously entered a plea of not quilty?
     DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
                With that understanding then to the charge of
     THE COURT:
possessing with intent to distribute five grams or more of a
substance containing crack cocaine as alleged in the
indictment, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?
     DEFENDANT DUPREE: Guilty.
     THE COURT:
                Would you read the charge, please,
Ms. Strimple?
     MS. STRIMPLE:
                   The grand jury charges: On or about
October 23rd, 2007 in the Western District of Texas defendant
Clarence Albert Dupree did unlawfully, knowingly and
intentionally possess with intent to distribute a controlled
substance, which offense involved at least five grams of a
mixture or substance containing cocaine base, also known as
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

crack cocaine, a Schedule 2 narcotic drug controlled substance, in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B)(iii). Is that what you did, Mr. Dupree? DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And is that what you're pleading guilty to? DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: The factual basis in this case, Ms. Strimple? MS. STRIMPLE: On October 23rd, 2007 members of the Killeen Police Department executed a search warrant at a residence on Blair Street in Killeen, Texas which is located in the Western District of Texas. The search warrant was based on information from seven confidential informants which indicated Dupree and others were acting together to distribute crack cocaine from two residences located on Blair Street and West Avenue E in Killeen, Texas. Dupree was located inside the Blair Street residence. Inside a bedroom used by Dupree investigators located cookies of crack cocaine and crack cocaine broken into individual pieces. The weight of the crack cocaine was approximately 51.8 grams. Smaller amounts of powder cocaine, ecstasy and a box for digital scales were located. Some of the narcotics were packaged for resale. Α cooperating witness was interviewed who stated the crack cocaine belonged to Dupree.

A second search warrant was executed at a residence on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

West Avenue E in Killeen, Texas. This residence is leased in Dupree's name. Prior to the execution of the search warrant investigators conducted surveillance. Investigators observed Dupree arrive at and leave the location. Investigators also observed activity consistent with drug trafficking. occupant of the vehicle leaving the West Avenue E address was stopped and questioned. The occupant stated he had just purchased crack cocaine from an unknown individual at the West Avenue E residence. The occupant identified Dupree as a person who had sold him crack cocaine several times in the past at that location. Digital scales and cocaine residue were located in the kitchen. The weight of the crack cocaine, information from informants and witnesses and the activity and items located at the addresses indicate the crack cocaine was possessed for distribution purposes, not for personal use. That is the factual basis, Your Honor. Do you have any disagreement with that factual THE COURT: summary, Mr. Dupree? No, sir. DEFENDANT DUPREE: THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, you are charged in a one-count indictment with possessing with intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C). The maximum punishment that can be assessed to a person convicted of that offense is 20 years of

incarceration followed by three years of supervised release, a 1 fine of up to a million dollars and a \$100 special assessment. 2 Do you understand those possible maximum punishments? 3 Yes, sir. DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: 4 5 THE COURT: Has there been a plea agreement in this case, Ms. Burris? 6 7 MS. BURRIS: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Martinez, that you have 9 the right to plead not guilty to this charge or to continue in 10 that plea if you've previously entered a plea of not guilty? 11 DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: With that understanding then to the charge of 13 possessing with intent to distribute a mixture or substance 14 containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine as alleged in 15 the indictment, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty? 16 DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Guilty, sir. THE COURT: Would you read the charge, please, Ms. Burris? 17 18 MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 The grand jury charges: On or about October 10th, 2007 in the Western District of Texas the defendant Michael Anthony 20 21 Martinez unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally did possess 22 with the intent to distribute a controlled substance, which 23 offense involved a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule 2 controlled substance, 24 25 in violation of Title 21 United States Code Sections 841(a)(1)

1 and 841(b)(1)(C). THE COURT: Is that what you did, Mr. Martinez? 2 3 DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir. Is that what you're pleading quilty to? 4 5 DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: The factual basis in this case, Ms. Burris? MS. BURRIS: On Wednesday, October 10th, 2007 officers 7 8 with the McLennan County Sheriff's Office and the DPS narcotics unit executed a state narcotics search warrant at a residence 9 10 located in Waco, McLennan County which is located in the Western District of Texas. The residence was under the control 11 12 of Roddy Scott. At the time the search warrant was executed, a 13 female was also in the residence. The officers located a 14 quantity of methamphetamine, scales, pills and other items used in the trafficking of controlled substances. While speaking 15 16 with the residents, they agreed to place a phone call to their 17 supplier in an attempt to cooperate with law enforcement. 18 Ms. Hicks spoke with the individual later identified as 19 Michael Anthony Martinez and requested an ounce of 20 methamphetamine. He indicated he didn't have that much on him 21 at the time but he had a quarter ounce and would bring it over 22 to the residence. Approximately 15 minutes later Martinez drove up to the residence, came up on the porch and knocked on 23 24 the door. Law enforcement officers who were inside the 25 residence opened the door. When Martinez saw them, he ran from

the residence. Officers caught up with him and apprehended him approximately a half a block later. When they did catch him, Martinez struggled with the officers for a time before he was subdued. He advised Investigator Mike Gates with the Sheriff's Office that the stuff was in his pocket. The officers searched him and found methamphetamine in his pockets which had been divided into two packages. The substance was later tested and

Based on the training and experience of Investigator Roger Kucera, the amount of methamphetamine found was an amount for distribution and not for personal use.

That's a summary of the factual basis, Your Honor.

did test positive for the presence of methamphetamine.

THE COURT: Do you have any disagreement with that factual summary, Mr. Martinez?

DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.

THE COURT: I mention to each of you that a part of the punishment that could be imposed would include a period of supervised release. That means that when a person is incarcerated as a result of a felony in federal court, once they are released from incarceration, they're required to live under the supervision of the probation department for a period of time. That's very much like being on probation in that you have to live up to certain terms and conditions, such as not violating the law or using controlled substances. You have to maintain employment and report regularly. It's also like being

```
1
    on probation in that if you do violate a term or condition of
 2
    your supervised release, it can be revoked and you can be
 3
    sentenced to a second period of incarceration that could be as
 4
    long as the entire period of supervised release, and you would
    get no credit for whatever period of time you had already
 5
 6
    served on supervised release.
 7
         Do you understand that, Mr. Owens?
 8
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
 9
                     Do you, Mr. Figueroa?
         THE COURT:
10
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
11
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson, do you?
12
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
13
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez, do you?
14
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
15
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, do you?
16
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
17
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Dupree, do you?
18
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
19
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Martinez, do you?
20
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ:
                              Yes, sir.
21
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Owens, I need to advise you that as a part
22
    of your plea agreement you've waived your right to an appeal.
23
    If your plea agreement is accepted by the Court, that becomes a
24
    permanent condition and you can't later change your mind and
25
    decide that you would like to appeal your sentence or file some
```

other type of application for post conviction relief. Do you 1 understand that? 2 3 MR. OWENS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: I also need to advise you that the offense to 4 which you're pleading quilty is classified as a felony under 5 6 federal law. That means that you have the right to have the 7 matter presented to a federal grand jury for the return of an 8 indictment before proceeding to enter a guilty plea or go to 9 trial. That is a right that you may waive, and if you do, then 10 you can proceed on the information that's been filed. 11 here before me a written waiver of indictment signed by you and 12 your attorney. So I assume that's what you wish to do; is that 13 correct? 14 MR. OWENS: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Before accepting your guilty pleas, then, 16 there are a number of rights I need to advise you of and a 17 number of questions I need to ask you. If you don't understand 18 any of these things, feel free to consult with your attorney at 19 any time. 20 First of all, has each of you had an ample opportunity to 21 discuss your case with your attorney and are you satisfied with 22 his representation of you?

23 Mr. Owens?

24

MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.

25 Mr. Figueroa? THE COURT:

```
1
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
 2
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
 3
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
 4
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
 5
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
 6
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
 7
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
 8
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
 9
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
10
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
11
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
12
         THE COURT: Do each of you understand that under the
13
    constitution and the laws of the United States you're entitled
14
    to a trial by jury on the charges you face?
15
         Do you understand that, Mr. Owens?
16
         MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.
                     Do you, Mr. Figueroa?
17
         THE COURT:
18
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
19
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson, do you?
20
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
21
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez, do you?
22
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
23
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, do you?
24
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
25
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree, do you?
```

1 DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir. Mr. Martinez, do you? 2 THE COURT: 3 DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir. At a trial and at every stage of the 4 proceedings against you, you have the right to be represented 5 6 by an attorney. If you can't afford to pay an attorney to 7 represent you through a trial, then one would be appointed to 8 represent you at no cost to you. During a trial, you would be presumed to be innocent. 9 The 10 government would have the obligation of proving your guilt 11 beyond a reasonable doubt, using competent evidence, before you 12 could be found guilty, and you would never have to prove that 13 you're innocent. 14 Also during a trial the witnesses for the government would 15 have to come into court and testify in your presence. Your 16 attorney would have the right to cross-examine the government's 17 witnesses, to object to their evidence and to offer evidence on 18 your behalf. You would have the right to testify yourself if 19 you wished, but you couldn't be forced to. If you elected not 20 to testify, the fact that you did not couldn't be used against 21 you as any suggestion or inference of your guilt. 2.2 Do you understand those rights that you have, Mr. Owens? 23 MR. OWENS: Yes, sir. 24 Do you, Mr. Figueroa? THE COURT:

DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.

25

```
1
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
 2
 3
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
 4
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
 5
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Copeland?
 6
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
 8
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
 9
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
10
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
11
         THE COURT: If you continue in your guilty plea and I
12
    accept your guilty plea, then you will waive your right to a
13
    trial and all those other rights I just discussed. There will
14
    be no further trial, and I will enter a judgment of guilty and
15
    sentence you on the basis of your guilty plea, after
16
    considering a presentence report.
17
         Do you understand that, Mr. Owens?
18
         MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.
                     Do you, Mr. Figueroa?
19
         THE COURT:
20
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
21
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Watson, do you?
22
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
23
         THE COURT:
                     Ms. Gomez, do you?
24
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
25
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, do you?
```

```
1
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree, do you?
 2
 3
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
 4
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, do you?
 5
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: Having discussed your rights with you, do you
 6
 7
    still want to plead guilty?
 8
         Mr. Owens?
9
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
10
         THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa?
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
11
12
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
13
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
14
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
15
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
17
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
18
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
19
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
20
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
21
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
22
         THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you are guilty
23
    and for no other reason?
24
         Mr. Owens?
25
         MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
         THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa?
 2
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
 3
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
 4
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
 5
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
 6
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
 8
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
9
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
10
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
11
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
12
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
13
         THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty freely and
14
    voluntarily?
15
         Mr. Owens?
16
         MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.
17
         THE COURT: Mr. Figueroa?
18
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
19
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
20
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
21
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
22
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
23
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
24
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
25
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
```

```
1
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
 2
 3
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
 4
         THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you, coerced you or
 5
    forced you in any way into pleading guilty?
 6
         Mr. Owens?
 7
         MR. OWENS: No, sir.
 8
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Figueroa?
 9
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
10
11
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
12
13
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
14
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
15
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
17
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
18
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
19
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
         THE COURT: Punishment in these cases will be determined
20
21
    in this manner: Your case will be referred to the probation
22
    office to prepare a presentence report. The most important
23
    part of that report is the officer's recommendation as to the
24
    appropriate guideline range for sentencing. That's based on a
25
    formula that takes into account the offense or offenses to
```

```
1
    which you're pleading guilty. It also takes into account any
 2
    criminal history that you might have. The results of the
 3
    formula are then expressed in a range of months. As an example
    of a range of months that I'm certainly not suggesting would
 4
    apply in any one of these cases, a range of months in a
 5
 6
    particular case might be 24 to 30 months. That would mean that
    I would be advised that the appropriate sentence in that case
 7
 8
    was 24 months of incarceration or 30 months of incarceration or
    any number of months between 24 and 30, but I would not be
 9
10
    bound by that. I would have the right to sentence that person
11
    to less than 24 months if I thought that was appropriate or to
12
    more than 30 months if I thought that was appropriate.
13
    elected to sentence that person to more than 30 months,
14
    however, he or she would have the right to appeal the sentence
15
    based on the fact that my reasons for doing that were not
16
    reasonable.
17
         Do you understand how that method of determining
18
    punishment works, Mr. Owens?
19
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
20
         THE COURT:
                     Do you, Mr. Figueroa?
21
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
22
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Watson, do you?
23
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
24
                     Ms. Gomez, do you?
         THE COURT:
25
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, do you?
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
 2
 3
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree, do you?
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
 4
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, do you?
 5
 6
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ:
                              Yes, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson, Mr. Copeland and Mr. Dupree, you
 8
    are each facing a mandatory minimum sentence of various
 9
    amounts. Do you understand that regardless of what the
10
    sentencing guidelines might suggest to the Court, I would be
11
    mandated to sentence you to at least that mandatory minimum
12
    sentence except in rather any -- very exceptional
13
    circumstances?
14
         Do you understand that, Mr. Watson?
15
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Do you understand that, Mr. Copeland?
17
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
18
         THE COURT: Has each of you discussed with your attorney
19
    how the sentencing quidelines might affect your case?
20
         Have you done that, Mr. Owens?
21
         MR. OWENS:
                     Yes, sir.
22
         THE COURT:
                     Have you, Mr. Figueroa?
23
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes, sir.
24
                     Mr. Watson, have you?
         THE COURT:
25
         DEFENDANT WATSON: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez, have you?
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: Yes, sir.
 2
 3
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland, have you?
 4
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: Yes, sir.
 5
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree, have you?
 6
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: Yes, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, have you?
 8
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: Other than those discussions you've had with
 9
10
    your attorney about the probable effect of the sentencing
11
    guidelines, has anyone made any prediction, prophecy or promise
12
    to you as to what your sentence will actually be?
13
         Mr. Owens?
14
         MR. OWENS:
                     No, sir.
15
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Figueroa?
16
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir.
17
         THE COURT: Mr. Watson?
18
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
19
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
20
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
21
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
22
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
23
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Dupree?
24
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
25
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
```

```
1
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
         THE COURT: And except for the promises the government has
 2
 3
    made in its plea agreement with you, Mr. Owens, has anyone made
 4
    any promise to any one of you that has caused you to plead
 5
    guilty?
 6
         Mr. Owens?
 7
         MR. OWENS:
                     No, sir.
 8
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Figueroa?
 9
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Excuse me, sir. Can you repeat that
10
    again?
11
         THE COURT: Sure. Has anyone made any promise to you that
12
    has caused you to plead guilty, Mr. Figueroa?
13
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: No, sir.
14
         THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Watson?
15
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
17
18
         THE COURT: Mr. Copeland?
19
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
20
         THE COURT: Mr. Dupree?
21
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
22
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
23
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
24
         THE COURT: Would any one of you like to withdraw your
25
    guilty plea at this point?
```

```
1
         Mr. Owens?
                     No, sir.
 2
         MR. OWENS:
 3
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Figueroa?
         DEFENDANT FIGUEROA:
                              No, sir.
 4
 5
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Watson?
 6
         DEFENDANT WATSON: No, sir.
 7
         THE COURT: Ms. Gomez?
 8
         DEFENDANT GOMEZ: No, sir.
 9
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Copeland?
         DEFENDANT COPELAND: No, sir.
10
11
         THE COURT:
                     Mr. Dupree?
12
         DEFENDANT DUPREE: No, sir.
13
         THE COURT: Mr. Martinez?
14
         DEFENDANT MARTINEZ: No, sir.
                     Then the Court finds as to each of you that
15
         THE COURT:
16
    your plea is freely and voluntarily made, that you fully
17
    understand the charge or charges and the penalties, that you
18
    understand your constitutional and statutory rights and desire
19
    to waive them, that you are competent to stand trial, and that
20
    there is a factual basis for each plea.
21
         Based on these findings, I accept your guilty pleas and
22
    find you guilty. These cases will now be referred to the
23
    probation office for the preparation of presentence reports.
24
    Copies of those reports will be available to each of you and to
25
    your attorneys and to the government for review prior to
```

```
1
    sentencing. If you have objections to the presentence report,
    they should be filed with the probation office not more than
 2
 3
    ten days after you receive your copy.
 4
         Sentencing in these cases will be set for Wednesday, March
 5
    the 5th at 1:00 p.m.
 6
         Does the government have any objection to Mr. Owens and
 7
    Ms. Gomez remaining free on current conditions of release?
 8
         MS. BURRIS: No, Your Honor.
9
         THE COURT:
                     It will be so ordered.
10
         Anything further in any of these cases, Counsel?
11
         Then you may be excused.
12
         (Hearing adjourned at 2:03.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )
 2
    WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
         I, Kristie M. Davis, Official Court Reporter for the
 4
 5
    United States District Court, Western District of Texas, do
    certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
 6
 7
    record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
 8
         I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with
 9
    those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the
10
    United States.
11
         Certified to by me this 25th day of August 2008.
12
                              /s/ Kristie M. Davis
13
                              KRISTIE M. DAVIS
                              Official Court Reporter
14
                              P.O. Box 20994
                              Waco, Texas 76702-0994
                              Telephone No.: (254) 754-7444
15
                              kmdaviscsr@sbcglobal.net
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```